DEVELOPING A
HEALTHY &
PROSPEROUS
DIGITAL VIDEO
ECOSYSTEM

6th Annual JIMCA / MPA Tokyo Film Festival seminar

MIKE WEATHERLEY

Vice Chairman | MPLC Motion Picture Licensing Company

Former British Member of Parliament & IP Adviser to the UK Prime Minister, Rt. Hon. David Cameron MP

mweatherley@mplc.com

IP Advisor Reports to Prime Minister:

Search Engines & Piracy

www.olswang.com/media/48165108/search_engines_and_piracy_mike_weatherley_mp.pdf

Financial Options to Assist in the Battle Against Piracy

www.olswang.com/media/48204227/follow_the_money_financial_options_to_assist_in_the_battle_against_online_ip_piracy.pdf

Copyright Education & Awareness

www.mikeweatherley.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/11.pdf

Safe Harbour Provisions & Online Service Providers

www.mikeweatherley.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/Safe-harbour-provisions-and-online-service-providers-FINAL.pdf

Multi-layered approach to fight piracy

Education

 Winning the hearts and minds of the general public

Carrot

 Changing / adapting business practice to be part of the digital world

Stick

- Follow the Money
- ISP site blocking
- As a last resort, enforcement & penalties

Follow the Money

Removing brand advertising revenue, encouraging voluntary global code of conduct

Target 'non brands' to force them to stop advertising via legislation

Partnership with Payment Providers to stop transactions

Search Engines

Other disruption.

Make it difficult to find illegal content



De-rank & De-list

Internet Service Providers

The preferred and most cost effective first option: blocking illegal access



Stopping illegal traffic down the wires stops illegal consumption.

Part of a package of measures: yes

Great first option: also yes

Case Study: United Kingdom

Legal basis for site blocking established in The Copyright, Designs & Patents Act 1988: Clause s97A

Process: Right Holder request to site of permanent removal of infringing content

Court application filed under Civil Procedure Rules for site blocking

Infringing website blocks implemented via IP address & DNS blocking (including provision for additional IP addresses & domains to be added if block circumvented)

Issues: Technical circumvention of orders possible using VPN or reverse proxy. Current PIPCU case at the Crown Court in Nottingham

Website blocking categorically successful & evolving: 63 sites blocked 2012-2015

Case Study: United Kingdom

2015 CMU Study: Site blocking increased paid legal streaming by average 12% (and as high as 23.6% by those users who heavily used illegal sites previously)

2015 INCOPRO Study: Blocked sites lose 77% estimated usage in 2 months following block

Case Study: United Kingdom Summary

Alliance for IP & the BPI:

"Before the first s97a action, the view from some quarters was that this would break the internet & be the tip of the iceberg by cutting off free speech etc. BUT - clearly blocking in UK works - it hasn't broken the internet & applications are now unchallenged by ISPs. This has reduced traffic by 75% on average to those sites & There is now a good relationship between Rights Holders, ISPs & the court BUT There is a view that ISPs could voluntarily do this, ie without court process."

Case Study: Singapore Timeline

2012: Government Media Convergence Review Panel convened on Digital Piracy.

Recommendations:

- Provision of legitimate content sources by rights owners
- Regulatory measures (particularly site blocking)
- Public education

Site blocking legislation enacted December 2014

Case Study: Italy

Solid legal framework that is also fast & cheap for rightholders Along with Portugal, Italy tops the list of ISP blocking at over 250 sites

Case Study: Denmark Timeline

2014: MIN CULT
facilitated
Memorandum of
Understanding
between Danish
Content Protection
Organisation Rights
Alliance (RA) &
Telecommunications
Industry Association



MOU allows
expansion site
blocking against one
ISP to others without
further court orders



2015 MOU expanded to include internet stakeholders (search engines, ad networks, payment processors etc). Signatories include Google, Mastercard & Microsoft

Does ISP Blocking infringe personal privacy?

Does not look at personal usage - it looks at illegal websites and the content that is publicly available. There is no secrecy of illegal content by those providing the illegal content

Many options and tools target websites and not persons eg WhiteBullet

Rights Holders look at the web site and the content when deciding what actions to take to block via an ISP. There is no data whatsoever about users.

One last point: The Police Intellectual Property Crime Unit (PIPCU)

Established in 2013, PIPCU has established itself as a vital force against IP crime

National approach

Operation Creative

Follow The Money

Very supportive of ISP Blocking

Summary thoughts...

Site blocking is in place in 40 countries

It does not break the internet & does not breach individual privacy / secrecy of communications

It increases consumer usage of paid, legal content

Must be part of a comprehensive approach between rights holders, Government & content facilitators - education, carrot & stick

Every country needs a PIPCU

I urge Japan to be on the list of countries taking part in the ISP blocking process